DOC # 0142624

01/22/2013

03:39 PM

Official Record

Recording requested By CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT

Lincoln County - NV Leslie Boucher - Recorder

Fee: RPTT: Page 1 of 6 Recorded By: LB

Book- 276 Page- 0387



## RECORDING REQUESTED BY AND RETURN TO:

STATE OF NEVADA ELKO PROGRAM AREA OFFICE CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT 1020 RUBY VISTA DR, #101 ELKO, NV 89801

ORDER AFFIRMING AND ADOPTING RECOMMENDATIONS OF CHILD SUPPORT HEARING MASTER

\*This is a cover page that only the Lincoln County Recorders Office will use to record the above named document. Do not file stamp this cover sheet. Only attach this form to the front of the Order and Notice of Entry of Order form that will be given to the recorders office.

3 4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

PINE, LINCOLN AND EUREKA COUNTIES STATE OF NEVADA 13 14

15

16

17 18

19

21

20

22 23

24

25

26

Case No. CV-0626012

Dept. No. 2 2813 JAN - 3 PM 2: 41

## IN THE SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LINCOLN

\* \* \* \* \* \*

GARY BRUCE BUTTRAM, III, Appellant,

VS.

THE DIVISION OF WELFARE AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES and NATASHA LYNN NACHBAUER, Respondents. ORDER AFFIRMING AND ADOPTING RECOMMENDATIONS OF CHILD SUPPORT HEARING MASTER

## FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On June 29, 2012, a notice and finding of financial responsibility to determine paternity and/or establish a child support obligation was filed. In response to the notice, Appellant requested a hearing before the Child Support Enforcement Division. Appellant reasoned his incarceration in the Nevada Department of Corrections rendered him unable to meet the child support obligations posited in the notice. A hearing was conducted on August 16 2012, before the Child Support Hearing Master (Master).

On September 7, 2012, after considering the evidence and making pertinent findings of fact and conclusions of law, the Master recommended the Court order; (1) that Appellant is the parent of the child named in the proceedings; (2) that arrears shall be awarded in the amount \$400.00, payable at a rate of \$25.00 per month until paid in



SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

full; (3) that ongoing child shall be \$100.00 per month in accordance with the statutory minimum set forth in N.R.S. § 125B.080 (2011); (4) that Appellant should provide health coverage if it is available through his employment: (5) that Appellant shall pay one half of any health expenses not covered by insurance; (6) that Appellant shall notify the State Child Support Office of any change of address or employment within ten days; and (7) that income withholding shall begin immediately.

On September 17, 2012, Appellant filed a letter objecting to the Master's recommendations. Appellant's primary concern appears to be his inability to earn enough money in the penal system to cover his child support obligations. He also notes concern regarding any interest that would accrue on any unpaid amounts while he remains incarcerated and unable to acquire enough money to cover payments.2

N.R.S. § 125B.080 requires that child support be determined in accordance with the statutory formula set forth in N.R.S. § 125B.070. If there is any deviation from that formula, there must be a record of sufficient facts justifying the deviation. Factors which may be considered by the court when deviating from the statutory formula include: "(a) the cost of health insurance; (b) the cost of child care; (c) any special education needs of the child; (d) the age of the child; (e) the legal responsibility of the parents for the support of others; (f) the value of services contributed by either parent; (g) any public assistance paid to support the child: (h) any expenses reasonably related to the mother's pregnancy and confinement; (i) the cost of transportation of the child to and from visitation if the custodial parent moved with the child from the jurisdiction of the court which ordered the

<sup>(</sup>Sept. 17, 2012, Letter at 1.)

support and the noncustodial parent remained: (i) the amount of time the child spends with each parent; (k) any other necessary expenses for the benefit of the child; and (l) the relative income of both parents." When determining child support, the minimum amount which shall be awarded is \$100.00, unless there are written findings that the obligor is unable to pay that amount.4

In Sanders v. State, the Nevada Supreme Court held that incarceration may be considered when determining if an individual is excused from paying child support.5 Although that case arose out of a criminal non-support prosecution, the State's High Court held that it had equal application to similar child support issues.<sup>6</sup> In that case, the Supreme Court held that the factors which should be considered include "any assets or income of the obligor, the obligors past and future ability to earn income, the length of the obligor's incarceration and the best interests of the child."<sup>7</sup>

In this case, the Master considered the factors in this case, pursuant to N.R.S. §125B.080 and found that no deviation should be made. 8 Although Sanders allows the Court to consider incarceration when faced with child support issues, incarceration is not the only factor which must be considered; therefore the mere fact that Appellant is presently incarcerated is not dispositive. Accordingly, the Court finds that the record supports the findings and recommendations of the Master.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> N.R.S. §125B.080(9) (2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> N.R.S. § 125B.080.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 119 Nev. 135, 141, 67 P.3d 323, 328 (2003).

Id.

<sup>(</sup>Recommendation for Order at 2.)

Good Cause Appearing;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Master's Findings and Recommendations are AFFIRMED and ADOPTED.

December, 2012

District Judge





An interest of the course and the same that the same the same to be same to b