

Official Record

Recording requested By
KENA GLOECKNER

Lincoln County - NV

Leslie Boucher - Recorder

Fee: \$41.00 Page 1 of 3

RPTT: Recorded By: LB

Book- 267 Page- 0558

APN _____

APN _____

APN _____



Judgment Roll in Case of Charles Lytle - Meadow Valley Stream

Title of Document

Affirmation Statement

X I, the undersigned hereby affirm that the attached document, including any exhibits, hereby submitted for recording **does not contain** the social security number, driver's license or identification card number, or any "Personal Information" (as defined by NRS 603A.040) of any person or persons. (Per NRS 239B.030)

_____ I, the undersigned hereby affirm that the attached document, including any exhibits, hereby submitted for recording **does contain** the social security number, driver's license or identification card number, or any "Personal Information" (as defined by NRS 603A.040) of a person or persons as required by law: _____
(State specific law)

Kena Gloeckner
Signature Title

Kena Gloeckner
Print

11-7-11
Date

Grantees address and mail tax statement:



STATE OF NEVADA }
COUNTY OF LINCOLN } ss.

I, Johnson W. Lloyd, County Clerk and Ex-Officio Clerk of the
Eighth Judicial District Court, in and for said County of Lincoln and State of Nevada, do
hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of the original
Judgment Roll in the case of Charles Lytle, et al, Plaintiffs
versus Henry Rice, et al, Defendants, filed November 16th 1899

now on file and of record in my office.

WITNESS my hand and the Seal of said Court aforesaid, this 11th

day of April A. D., 1940

Johnson W. Lloyd
Clerk of the Eighth Judicial District Court,
Lincoln County, Nevada.

By _____
Deputy Clerk.



IN THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, OF THE STATE OF
NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LINCOLN.
**-----

Charles Lytle, E. Wyman,
John E. Hammond and
Robert Hammond. Plaintiffs.

vs.

Artemus Millett, D. Y.
Alexander, Henry Rice, James
Rice, Edwin Rice and Frank Walker
Defendants.

State of Nevada)
County of Lincoln) ss

Charles Lytle being first duly sworn deposes and says,
that on the 15th day of January 1884, a decree and judgment was made and
entered, in said Court, in the above entitled case in favor of the plaintiff's
and against the defendants, whereby the said defendants and each of them
their agents, servants and employees, were perpetually enjoined and restrained
from in any way using or diverting any of the water of the stream or creek
known as the Meadow Valley Stream or Creek flowing into Eagle Valley in
its natural channel, a copy of which said decree and judgment is in
the words and figures as follows:

In the District Court, Sixth District, State of Nevada in and for
Lincoln County.
Charles Lytle, E. Wyman,
John E. Hammond and
Robert Hammond.

vs

Artemus Millit, D. Y. Alexander,
Henry Rice, Edwin Rice and
Frank Walker.

This action coming on regularly to be heard upon the Complaint, the default
of the said defendants herein having been duly entered. The said plaintiffs
being present with their Attorney Thompson Campbell, and neither of the
said defendants nor any person or attorney to represent them appearing.
The said plaintiffs were then sworn and testified upon the matters set forth
in said complaint. On consideration whereof the Court does find that the
said defendants were guilty of the waste and destruction in the manner and
form as the said plaintiffs hath in their said complaint alleged against them.

It is therefore ordered, and adjudged that the injunction heretofore
granted in this action be, and the same is hereby made perpetual and the
said defendants and each and every of them, their agents, servants and
employees are hereby perpetually enjoined from in any way using or diverting
any of the water of the stream or creek known as the Meadow Valley Stream
or Creek, flowing into Eagle Valley in its natural channel. Said Stream
or creek being in the County of Lincoln, State of Nevada, above the lands
of said plaintiffs, in said Eagle Valley or in any way to interfere with
the use of said water of said stream or creek by plaintiffs or either
of them upon their said land as described in the Complaint in this action,
or their or either of their grantees, assigns, heirs, or legal representatives.
And it is further considered that the said plaintiffs do have and recover
judgment from and against said defendants for their costs in and about this
suit in their behalf expended
taxed to be -----Dollars.

Dated Jany. 15th 1884.

Henry Rives
District Judge, Sixth District
State of Nevada