## COPY

No. CC2-86

2

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

MEADOW VALLEY TO HESSER

JUSTICE COURT

PIOCHE, HEVADA

FILED 11-18-86

CASE 1 CC 2-86

BY CATAL K. LATER

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE

IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF MEADOW VALLEY TOWNSHIP,

COUNTY OF LINCOLN, STATE OF NEVADA

JUNE COX PETE,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS
OF LAW AND JUDGMENT

ALFRED H. LOUCHARD and LORRELL G. LOUCHARD,

Defendants.

GARY D. FAIRMA
APROFESSIONAL CORPORAT
727 AVENUE G - F. O. 80
ELY, NEVADA 8830

A trial in the above-entitled matter having come on regularly to be heard before this Court of the 10th day of November, 1986, the Plaintiff being present in Court and being represented by and through her attorney, GARY D. FAIRMAN, ESQ., a Professional Corporation, and the Defendant ALFRED H. LOUCHARD being present in Court and not being represented by an attorney or anyone else on Defendants' behalf; the Court received both oral testimony and documentary evidence and as a result thereof, finds the facts as follows:

That sometime prior to October 12, 1979, Plaintiff and Defendants entered into negotiations whereunder Defendants desired to purchase from Plaintiff a ten (10) acre parcel of real

30 105 mr 458

E1 269-4422

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

JARY D. FAIRMAN PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 7 AVENUE 9 - P. O. BOX # ELY, NEVADA 89301

property located in Lincoln County, State of Nevada, for the sum of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00). At Defendant's request, the sale of the real property was divided into two (2) parcels for two separate amounts. One parcel, originally thought by the parties to be two (2) acres, was sold for the sum of Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00) and the other parcel, approximately eight (8) acres, was sold for the sum of Eight Thousand Dollars (\$8,000.00). The Defendants requested this transaction be divided into two separate agreements so that they could pay off the two acre parcel at an earlier time and obtain financing to build a home on the parcel.

The Court further finds that the parties to the agreement paced off the distances on the real property and both parties agreed on the legal description for the real property. There was no accurate survey done on the real property to derive the legal descriptions. The Court further finds that a dispute arose between the parties concerning whether or not Plaintiff owned approximately three-tenths (3/10) of an acre of real property that was attached to the original two (2) acre parcel. To resolve the questionable status of ownership of the real property, the dispute was resolved to reduce the purchase price from Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00) to One Thousand Seven Hundred Dollars (\$1,700.00) and delete the questioned parcel from the transaction.

The Court further finds that after the transaction between the parties concerning the purchase of the real property,

- 2 -

800 105 mg 459

10

11

12

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

25

1

2

3

that Plaintiff became aware that the parties were legally mandated to provide a parcel map with a legal survey to the Lincoln County Planning Commission and thereafter to the County Commission of Lincoln County. In order to comply with the law, Plaintiff had an appropriate legal survey encompassing the total ten (10) acres of real property as originally paced on foot by the parties. This legal survey was incorporated into an appropriate map of the area and the parcel split was approved by the Lincoln County Planning Commission and the Lincoln County Commissioners. Thereafter said map showing the transfer of the entire ten (10) acres of real property from Plaintiff to Defendants was recorded as provided by law in the office of the Lincoln County Recorder, Lincoln County, State of Nevada. Plaintiff thereafter obtained a Correction Deed for the real property from the owners where she had originally obtained title, and recorded said Correction Deed in the Office of the Lincoln County Recorder. Plaintiff has been ready and willing to convey that the original disputed parcel which she did own to Defendants.

The Court further finds that subsequent to the real property transactions entered into by the parties during October, 1979, the parties entered into a separate agreement whereby Plaintiff agreed to gravel a roadway for the use of Defendants whereunder Defendants agreed to pay the sum of Twenty Dollars (\$20.00) per load for gravel. The Court finds that Plaintiff fully performed in this capacity and did provide Thirty-live (35)

- 3 -

300 105 age 460

> 15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

3

MARY D. FAIRMAN PRETESTONAL COPPORATION T AVENUE G. P. O. DOX B. ELY NEVADA 89301

loads of gravel at the agreed price of Twenty Dollars (\$20.00) per load for a total sum of Seven Hundred Dollars (700.00). The gravel was provided during the first part of March, 1981. The Court finds that Plaintiff appropriately have billed Deferdants for the same and was not paid. In addition thereto, Plaintiff also submitted a bill for Three Hundred Dollars (\$300.00) for the three-tenths (3/10) of an acre of land which Defendants had the use and enjoyment of as a result of the new survey together with accrued interest thereon in the sum of Seventy Five Dollars (\$75.00). The Court further finds that there was additional land preparation and planting involved in the sum of One Hundred Dollars (\$100.00) for a total amount due and owing in the sum of One Thousand One Hundred Seventy Five Dollars (\$1,175.00). The Court finds from the evidence presented that said amount of accrued interest at the rate of 1.5% per month or a sum of 18% per annum as billed. The Court further finds that this sum was not paid by Defendants to Plaintiff and continued to accrue interest thereon.

The Court further finds that Defendants owed to Plaintiff the sum of Five Hundred (\$500.00) pursuant to the Promissory Note executed by Defendants on or about December 16, 1979, which was admitted into evidence. The Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00) due and owing on said Promissory Note accrued interest thereon at the rate of Twelve Percent (12%) per annum as contained in said Note for a total sum due and owing of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00) together with the accrued interest to

- 4 -

ACCN 1951 AME 461

date.

1

2

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

25

26

The Court finds that there is a total amount due and owing on said Promissory Note as of the end of October, 1986, in the sum of Seven Hundred Ninety Dollars and Eight Cents (\$790.08). The Court further finds that there is a total sum due and owing pursuant to the agreement between Plaintiff and Defendants for the use of the gravel and the land preparation together with the additional three-tenths (3/10) acre of land which Defendants received the benefit of although not paying for as of October 31, 1986. the same in the sum of \$ 3./%(s.02)

The Court further finds that Defendants failed to appropriately raise in a timely manner any Defenses as required by the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure including any defense of Statute of Limitations for the collection of amounts due and owing.

In conjunction of the foregoing facts the Court specifically finds that there was an agreement for the work to be provided by Plaintiff at Defendants' specific instance and request, that said work was performed by Plaintiff, and that Defendants did not pay Plaintiff for said work as was described above.

The Court further finds that Defendants were unjustly enriched as a result of receiving and using an additional three-tenths (3/10) of an acre of real property and therefore should compensate Plaintiff for the same.

The Court further finds that Plaintiff had to engage

. 1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

SARY D. FAIRMAN PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION T AVENUE G - P. O. BOX 50 ELY, NEVADA 99301 the services of an attorney herein and that the sum of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00) together with costs of suit is a reasonable attorney's fee therefore.

From the foregoing facts, the Court concludes as a matter of law as follows:

- That there was an agreement between Plaintiff and Defendants for the purchase of ten (10) acres of real property;
- 2. That Defendants have received a full ten (10) acres of real property from Plaintiff and for which they have not fully paid Plaintiff therefore;
- 3. That Defendants have been unjustly enriched by the receipt of three-tenths (3/10) of an acre of real property for which they have not paid Plaintiff the sum of Three Hundred Dollars (\$300.00) therefore;
- 4. That there was a valid oral agreement between Plaintiff and Defendants whereunder Plaintiff provided loads of gravel and land preparation for Defendants and Defendants agreed to pay to Plaintiff the sum of Twenty Dollars (\$20.00) per load and One Hundred Dollars (\$100.00) for land preparation for which Defendants have not paid Plaintiff;
- 5. That there was a Promissory Note executed by
  Defendants in favor of Plaintiffs for which there is due and
  owing thereunder the sum of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00)
  together with interest as provided in the Note in the sum of Twelve Percent
  (12%) per annum which is accrued interest from January 1, 1983, through
  October 31, 1986, and is still accruing interest until paid;

23 24

25

- 6 **-**

acci 105 au 463

10

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

1

2

3

11

 That the agreement between Plaintiff and Defendants to provide work and services by Plaintiff for Defendants has not been paid and has continued to accrue interest from the date of the work at the rate of 1.5% per month or at the rate of 18% per annum and shall continue to accrue interest at that rate until fully paid;

 That Defendants have not properly raised defenses in their pleadings or otherwise concerning the Statute of Limitations;

- 8. That Plaintiff has incurred a reasonable attorney's fee in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00) together with costs of suit;
- 9. That the Defendants have not prevailed on the burden of proof by showing that there was not an agreement for the providing of services by Plaintiff for Defendants or that they have not received the benefit and use of the real property described herein or that they had any justification for not paying Plaintiff pursuant to the Promissory Note executed by Defendants in favor of Plaintiff.

From the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Court hereby enters Judgment as follows:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Defendants pay to Plaintiff the sum of Five Hundred (\$500.00) together with accrued interest thereon at the rate of Twelve Percent (12%) per annum from January 1, 1983, until paid.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that

2004 105 yer 464

Defendants pay to Plaintiff the sum of One Thousand One Hundred Seventy Five Dollars (\$1,175.00) together with interest thereon at the rate of 1.5% per month or the rate of 18% per annum from March, 1981, to the date it is paid in full.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Defendants pay to Plaintiff the sum of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00) as and for attorney's fee together with costs of suit.

DATED this 18th day of November, 1986.

STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF LINCOLN

Lecture Lestice Court Clerk of Meadow Valley Township Justice Court of the State of Nevada, in and for the County of Lincoln, do hereby partify that I have compared the foregoing with the original Stade on a Face Con Cas ice The week and shour thereof, and that I am the keeper of said original, Regung same on fille-in my office os the food opstedian, and keeper of the same under the laws of the State of Reyest, and I forthe sait, that the funcying copy is a full true and correct copy of the findings of root, Cong Jan E. AND RECORDED AT REQUEST OF now on title and of record in my critica. I do further carbity that the same like not been altered, amended or set aside, but is still of full force and effect. T 10 MINUTES FAST 2 O'CL A

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said 

Yuriko Setzer Mis Pirichel, Deputy

LINCULK

100262

P - N BOCK \_\_ 105 OF OTHE .

Alfred Louchard

April 7, 1993

±Cu+05, P≠GE 458

NIY, NEVADA.

- 8 and last -

anck 105 and 465

10

1 2

3

5 6

7

8

9

LAW OFFICES
GARY D. FAIRMAN
A PROFESSIONAL CONPORATION

15 16 17

> 18 19 20

21 22

23

25 26